

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

TMENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,786	09/23/2004	Shiro Iida	82478-9000	3928
21611 . 73	590 11/22/2006		EXAM	INER
SNELL & WILMER LLP			. ROY, SIKHA	
600 ANTON B SUITE 1400	OULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
COSTA MESA, CA 92626			2879	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/508,786	IIDA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	·	Sikha Roy	2879		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Set This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims		•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-19</u> is/are pending in the appear of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-19</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a confident may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	•				
2) Notice (3) Inform	e(s)  e of References Cited (PTO-892)  e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  No(s)/Mail Date 0904.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2879

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The Preliminary Amendment, filed on September 23, 2004 has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Cancellation of claim 7 and addition of new claims 8-18 have been entered.

## **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3 - 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,633,128 to llyes et al. and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,882,237 to Sarver et al.

Regarding claim 1 llyes discloses (Fig. 1 column 3 lines 12-29, 62-67) an arc tube having a glass tube that is wound into a spiral wherein the glass tube has an inner shape of a substantially circular cross section with inner tube diameter of 8.8 mm (outer diameter - thickness).

llyes does not explicitly teach the cold spot temperature falling into a range of 60° C to 65°C.

Art Unit: 2879

Sarver in same field of endeavor discloses (column 1 lines 51-57) temperature controlled fluorescent lamps typically has cold spot temperature ranging from 40°C to 60°C.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to specify the compact fluorescent lamp of Ilyes having wall load adjusted such that the cold spot temperature is 60°C which is typically desirable cold spot temperature as disclosed by Sarver et al.

Regarding claim 3 Ilyes and Sarver disclose the claimed invention except for the limitation of wall loading of the lamp being in the range of 0.08 to .12 W/cm². It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the range for the wall loading of the lamp of Ilyes and Sarver being within 0.08 to .12 W/cm², for proper control of the cold spot temperature since optimization of workable ranges is considered within the skill of the art.

Regarding claims 4 and 5 llyes discloses (abstract Fig. 1) the glass tube is in the shape of a double-spiral comprising a turning part 38, a first spiral part and a second spiral part, the turning part located in substantially a mid-section of the glass tube, the glass tube is in a shape of double-spiral comprising a turning part, the first spiral part starting from one end of the glass tube spiraling around a pivotal axis to reach the

Art Unit: 2879

turning part, the second spiral part starting from the turning part and spiraling around the pivotal axis to the other end of the glass tube.

Regarding claims 8,10-12 llyes discloses (column 4 lines 31-41) mercury is sealed within the glass tube for light excitation.

Regarding claims 13,15-17 llyes discloses the lamp including the arc tube is a low pressure mercury lamp.

Claim 19 essentially recites the limitations same as of claims 1, 3 and 13 and hence is rejected for the same reasons (see rejection of claims 1,3 and 13).

Claims 2, 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,633,128 to Ilyes et al., U.S. Patent 5,882,237 to Sarver et al. and further in view of JP 05151935 to Takahashi.

Regarding claim 2 llyes and Sarver disclose all the limitations same as of claim 1 but do not teach the glass tube that is wound in a spiral having an inner shape of a substantially elliptical cross section with an inner major axis in a range from 5mm to 9mm and inner tube minor axis of 3 mm or larger.

Takahashi in pertinent art of fluorescent lamp discloses (see Abstract) the lamp having glass tube having elliptical cross-section. Takahashi further teaches that tube having elliptical cross-section presents excellent strength and illumination efficiency.

Therefor it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the cross-section of the arc tube of liyes having elliptical cross-

Art Unit: 2879

section as suggested by Takahashi for providing excellent strength and illumination efficiency.

Regarding claim 2, Ilyes, Sarver and Takahashi disclose the claimed invention except for the limitation of the elliptical cross section with an inner major axis in a range from 5mm to 9mm and inner tube minor axis of 3mm or larger. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide elliptical cross section with an inner major axis in a range from 5mm to 9mm and inner tube minor axis of 3mm or larger, for maximum illumination efficiency since optimization of workable ranges is considered within the skill of the art.

Regarding claim 9 llyes discloses (column 4 lines 31-41) mercury is sealed within the glass tube for light excitation.

Regarding claim 14 Ilyes discloses the lamp including the arc tube is a low pressure mercury lamp.

Claims 6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,633,128 to Ilyes et al., U.S. Patent 5,882,237 to Sarver et al. and further in view of U.S. Patent 6,437,502 to Nishio et al.

Regarding claim 6 Ilyes and Sarver are silent about the glass tube fitting into a cylindrical space of maximum diameter in a range of 30mm to 40 mm inclusive and maximum length in a range of 50mm to 100 mm inclusive.

Art Unit: 2879

Nishio in analogous art of compact fluorescent lamps disclose (Fig. 1 column 12 lines 14-38) a self ballasted compact fluorescent lamp typically having height H2 in the ranges from 50 to 60 mm and the maximum width (diameter) D3 ranging from 32 to 43 mm. Nishio further notes (column 5 lines 29-56) that a lamp with this specific dimension provides total luminous flux same as a lamp corresponding to those of a typical light bulb for general illumination such as an incandescent lamp having a rated power of 60W type.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to specify the typical dimension of a compact lamp with maximum diameter ranging from 32 to 43 mm and height ranging from 50 to 60 mm as disclosed by Nishio for the compact lamp of Ilyes and Sarver for providing total luminous flux same as a lamp corresponding to those of a typical light bulb for general illumination such as an incandescent lamp having a rated power of 60W type.

Regarding claim 18 Ilyes discloses the lamp including the arc tube is a low pressure mercury discharge lamp.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 6,744,205 to lida et al. and U.S. Patent 6,759,797 to Tokes et al. disclose compact fluorescent lamp with double helix shaped arc tube.

Art Unit: 2879

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikha Roy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sikha Roy

Sikha Roy Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879